Course Outline L1-L5 Specifications, models and loop-shaping by hand L6-L8 Limitations on achievable performance L9-L11 Controller optimization: Analytic approach FRTN10 Multivariable Control, Lecture 14 L12-L14 Controller optimization: Numerical approach 12. Youla parameterization, internal model control Automatic Control LTH, 2016 13. Synthesis by convex optimization 14. Controller simplification Lecture 14 – Outline Lecture 14 – Outline 1. Model reduction by balanced truncation Model reduction by balanced truncation 2. Application to controller simplification 3. Frequency weighted balanced truncation Reading note: [Glad/Ljung, section 3.6] Model reduction Example – DC-motor w_1 z_2 z_1 $\frac{20}{s(s+1)}$ Mathematical modeling can lead to dynamical models of very high order w_2 -1 Controller synthesis using the Q-parameteritzation can lead to very high order controllers In Lecture 13 we minimized $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G_{zw}(i\omega)|^2 d\omega$ subject to step Need for systematic way to reduce the model order response bounds on $G_{z_1w_1}$ and $G_{z_2w_2}$: C/(1+PC) P/(1+PC) In general terms we would like to achieve molitica $G_r(s) \approx G(s)$ where $G_r(s)$ has (much) lower order than G(s)2 Time (seconds) 2 Time (seconds) **Example – DC-motor** Controllability and observability Recall that $C(s) = [I - Q(s)P_{yu}(s)]^{-1}Q(s)$, with $Q(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} Q_k \phi_k(s)$. The controllability Gramian $S = \int_0^\infty e^{At} B B^T e^{A^T t} dt$ can be computed Controller order grows with the number of basis functions ϕ_k .

Optimized controller for DC-servo has order 14. Is that really needed?



The controllability Gramian $S = \int_0^{\infty} e^{at} BB^* e^{at} dt$ can be computed by solving the Lyapunov equation

$$AS + SA^T + BB^T = 0$$

The observability Gramian $O=\int_0^\infty e^{A^Tt}C^TCe^{At}dt$ can be computed by solving the Lyapunov equation

$$A^T O + O A + C^T C = 0$$

We want to remove states that are both poorly controllable and poorly observable.

Gramians, looking back

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx + Du \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad x(t) &= e^{At} x(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

Impulse response from zero initial condition: $u_i(t) = \delta(t), x(0) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t) &= e^{At} B_i \\ X(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} = e^{At} B \\ S_x &= \int_0^\infty X(t) X^T(t) \, dt = \int_0^\infty e^{At} B B^T e^{A^T t} \, dt \end{aligned}$$

Output from $u \equiv 0$ (only initial state $x(0) = x_0$)

$$y(t) = Cx(t) = Ce^{At}x_0$$
$$\int_0^\infty y(t)^T y(t) dt = \int_0^\infty x_0^T e^{A^T t} C^T C A t x_0 dt \quad \stackrel{\frown}{=} \quad x_0^T O_x x_0$$

Hankel singular values

Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{(TS_x T^T)}_{\Sigma} \underbrace{(T^{-T}O_x T^{-1})}_{\Sigma} = TS_x O_x T^{-1}$$

so the diagonal elements are the eigenvalues of $S_x O_x$, independently of coordinate system. The numbers σ_1,\ldots,σ_n are called the <code>Hankel</code> singular values of the system.

A small Hankel singular value corresponds to a state that is both weakly controllable and weakly observable. Hence, it can be truncated without much effect on the input-output behavior.

Example
Original system: $\frac{1-s}{s^6+3s^5+5s^4+7s^3+5s^2+3s+1}$
Hankel singular values:
Sigma = [1.9837 1.9184 0.7512 0.3292 0.1478 0.0045]
Reduced system:
0.3717 s^3 - 0.9682 s^2 + 1.14 s - 0.5185
s^3 + 1.136 s^2 + 0.825 s + 0.5185
10 - 10 - 10 - Fragmany (states)
Example — Heat exchanger
A state transformation $\xi_1=-7.07(x_1+x_2),\xi_2=7.07(x_1-x_2)$ gives the balanced realization
$\dot{\xi} = egin{bmatrix} -0.01 & 0 \ 0 & -0.41 \end{bmatrix} \xi + 0.0707 egin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} u$
$y = 0.0707 \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xi$

the common controllability/observability Gramian

$$S_{\xi} = O_{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0122 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the reduced model

$$\begin{split} \dot{\xi}_1 &= -0.01 \xi_1 - 0.0707 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} u \\ y &= -0.0707 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \xi_1 + 0.0122 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} u \end{split}$$

Balanced realizations

For a stable system (A, B, C) with Gramians S_x and O_x , the variable transformation $\xi = Tx$ gives the new state-space matrices $\widehat{A} = TAT^{-1}$, $\widehat{B} = TB, \widehat{C} = CT^{-1}$ and the new Gramians

$$\begin{split} S_{\xi} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\widehat{A}t} \widehat{B} \widehat{B}^{T} e^{\widehat{A}^{T}t} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} T e^{At} B B^{T} e^{A^{T}t} T^{T} dt = T S_{x} T^{T} \\ O_{\xi} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\widehat{A}^{T}t} \widehat{C}^{T} \widehat{C} e^{\widehat{A}t} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} T^{-T} e^{At} C^{T} C e^{A^{T}t} T^{-1} dt = T^{-T} O_{x} T^{-1} \\ \text{A particular choice of } T \text{ gives } S_{\xi} = O_{\xi} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & \sigma_{n} \end{bmatrix}}_{\Sigma} \end{split}$$
The corresponding realization

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi} = \widehat{A}\xi + \widehat{B}u\\ y = \widehat{C}x \end{cases}$$

is called a balanced realization.

Model reduction by balanced truncation

Consider a balanced realization

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} u \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} + Du$$
with the lower part of the Gramian being $\Sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{r+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix}$.

Replacing the second state equation by $\dot{\xi}_2=0$ gives the relation $0=A_{21}\xi_1+A_{22}\xi_2+B_2u.$ The reduced system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_1 = (A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})\xi_1 + (B_1 - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}B_2)u\\ y_r = (C_1 - C_2A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})\xi_1 + (D - C_2A_{22}^{-1}B_2)u \end{cases}$$

satisfies the error bound

$$\frac{\|y-y_r\|_2}{\|u\|_2} \le 2\sigma_{r+1} + \dots + 2\sigma_n$$

Example — Heat exchanger

$$\begin{split} &V_C \frac{dT_C}{dt} = f_C (T_{C_i} - T_C) + \beta (T_H - T_C) \qquad \text{(cold side)} \\ &V_H \frac{dT_H}{dt} = f_H (T_{H_i} - T_H) - \beta (T_H - T_C) \qquad \text{(hot side)} \end{split}$$

 $u_1 = T_{C_i}$ is the in-flow temperature on the cold side $x_1 = T_C$ is the out-flow temperature on the cold side $u_2 = T_{H_i}$ is the in-flow temperature on the hot side $x_2 = T_H$ is the out-flow temperature on the hot side

Numerical values:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.21 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & -0.21 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.01 \end{bmatrix} u$$
$$y = x$$

Lecture 14 – Outline

Application to controller simplification





Handling unstable systems

Before model reduction, decompose the system into its stable and nonstable parts:

 $G(s) = G_s(s) + G_{ns}(s)$

Perform the reduction only on $G_s(s)$; then add $G_{ns}(s)$ again

(Performed automatically by Matlab's balreal and balred)

Example – Doyle–Stein (1979)

The controller has one unstable pole in $16.1. \mbox{ Hankel singular values:}$



Lecture 14 – Outline

Model reduction by balanced truncation

Application to controller simplification

Frequency-weighted balanced truncation

Example – DC-servo

Reduced controller with 5 states:



Example – Doyle–Stein (1979)

In Lecture 13 we found the following 12th order controller for Doyle–Stein's example using optimization:



Example – Doyle–Stein (1979)





Are all frequencies equally important?

The error bound

$$\max_{\omega} |G(i\omega) - G_r(i\omega)| = \sup_{u} \frac{\|y - y_r\|_2}{\|u\|_2} \le 2\sigma_{r+1} + \dots + 2\sigma_r$$

emphasizes all frequencies equally, but comparing a controller C(s) with a reduced controller $C_r(s)$ in closed loop operation gives

 $|P(I+CP)^{-1}C - P(I+C_rP)^{-1}C_r| \approx |P(I+CP)^{-1}(C-C_r)|$

Hence it is interesting to minimize the frequency weighted error

 $\max_{\omega} \left| W(i\omega) [C(i\omega) - C_r(i\omega)] \right|$

where $W(i\omega) = P(i\omega)(I + C(i\omega)P(i\omega))^{-1}$.

Frequency-weighted balanced truncation

For model reduction with the aim to minimize

 $\max_{\omega} \left\| W_o(i\omega) [G(i\omega) - G_r(i\omega)] W_i(i\omega) \right\|$

where

 $W_i(s) = C_i(sI - A_i)^{-1}B_i + D_i \quad G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D \quad W_o(s) = C_o(sI - A_o)^{-1}B_o + D_o$

find extended Gramians by solving

 $\begin{bmatrix} A & BC_i \\ 0 & A_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S & S_{12} \\ S_{12}^T & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} S & S_{12} \\ S_{12}^T & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & BC_i \\ 0 & A_i \end{bmatrix}^T + \begin{bmatrix} BD_i \\ B_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} BD_i \\ B_i \end{bmatrix}^T = 0$ $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B_oC & A_o \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} O & O_{12} \\ O_{12}^T & O_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} O & O_{12} \\ O_{12}^T & O_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B_oC & A_o \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^TD_o^T \\ D_o^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_oC & D_o \end{bmatrix} = 0$ then change coordinates to make *S* and *O* equal and diagonal before

then change coordinates to make S and O equal and diagonal before truncating the realization of G(s) to get $G_r(s)$ as before.

Summary

- Low order controllers could be desirable to meet constraints on speed and memory.
- Balanced realizations can reveal less important states
- Good theoretical error bounds
- Frequency weighting essential for closed loop performance
- Reduction of unstable controllers not treated here