

# Solutions to the exam in Real-Time Systems 130402

These solutions are available on WWW: <http://www.control.lth.se/course/FRTN01/>

1.

- a. In order for the controller to have integral action it must have a pole in  $z = 1$ . This is the case if  $k_1 = 1$ .
- b. In order for the controller to have derivative action it must have a zero in  $z = 1$ . This is the case if  $k_3/k_2 = -1$ .

2.

- a. Assuming zero initial condition and taking  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform gives

$$Y(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + 0.5} U(z).$$

The poles are located in  $p_{1,2} = \pm i/\sqrt{2}$ .

- b. With  $x_1(k) = y(k)$  and  $x_2(k) = y(k+1)$  we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1(k+1) \\ x_2(k+1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u.$$
$$y(k) = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- c. Put  $y(k+2) = y(k)$ . Then we get that  $1.5y(k) = u(k)$ , meaning that the stationary gain is  $1/1.5$ .

3.

- a. b and c are the setpoint weights for the proportional and derivative parts, respectively. They are used to tune the setpoint response of the controller.  $K_b$  is the tracking constant for the anti-windup, normally expressed as  $\frac{1}{T_i}$ .
  - b. The integrator and gain blocks implement a lowpass filter for the derivative part.  $N$  is the maximum derivative gain.
- 4 a. The approximate analysis cannot be used since we have  $D_i < T_i$  for at least one task.
- 4 b. With rate monotonic priority assignment we get the priorities: A - high, B - medium, C - low.

The exact analysis method gives:

$$R_A = 1 \leq 2 \text{ (OK)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_B^0 &= 3 \\ R_B^1 &= 3 + \left\lceil \frac{3}{3} \right\rceil 1 = 4 \\ R_B^2 &= 3 + \left\lceil \frac{4}{3} \right\rceil 1 = 5 \\ R_B^3 &= 3 + \left\lceil \frac{5}{3} \right\rceil 1 = 5 \end{aligned}$$

$$R_B = 5 \leq 6 \text{ (OK)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_C^0 &= 2 \\
 R_C^1 &= 2 + \left\lceil \frac{2}{3} \right\rceil 1 + \left\lceil \frac{2}{7} \right\rceil 3 = 6 \\
 R_C^2 &= 2 + \left\lceil \frac{6}{3} \right\rceil 1 + \left\lceil \frac{6}{7} \right\rceil 3 = 7 \\
 R_C^3 &= 2 + \left\lceil \frac{7}{3} \right\rceil 1 + \left\lceil \frac{7}{7} \right\rceil 3 = 8 \\
 R_C^4 &= 2 + \left\lceil \frac{8}{3} \right\rceil 1 + \left\lceil \frac{8}{7} \right\rceil 3 = 11
 \end{aligned}$$

Although  $R_C$  has not converged yet, we know already that it is larger than the deadline 10, i.e., the set is not schedulable using rate monotonic fixed-priority scheduling.

**4 c.** No, the priorities are the same as for rate monotonic priority assignment and thus the results from ?? apply to deadline monotonic assignment as well.

**5.**

**a.** Since models are only approximations there is a large probability that there actually will exist frequencies slightly larger than  $f_0$ . Thus, a slightly larger sampling frequency should be chosen.

**b.** Denote the sampling frequency with  $f_s$ . By applying the Shannon sampling theorem we get:

- For  $f_s \geq 6f_0$  no part of the signal will be aliased.
- For  $4f_0 \leq f_s < 6f_0$  the disturbance will be aliased to outside  $\pm f_0$ .
- For  $2f_0 \leq f_s < 4f_0$  the disturbance will be aliased into the frequency interval  $\pm f_0$
- For  $f_0 \leq f_s < 2f_0$  the signal will be aliased to outside  $\pm f_0$ .

**6.**

**a.** The Worker threads should ask for new job parts and execute them as long as there are any left. Then their run method should terminate, so that the join call in `Pool.runParallel` can finish. A suitable implementation is

```

private class Worker extends Thread {
    public void run() {
        int myPart = getNextPart();
        while (myPart != -1) {
            job.doPart(myPart);
            myPart = getNextPart();
        }
    }
}

```

- b.** The critical portion of the code is the getNextPart method, which assigns the job parts. This method should be synchronized in order to guarantee that each job part is assigned exactly once.
- c.** As the Pool class initializes all its state from scratch in the runParallel method, there is no problem in calling runParallel multiple times in sequence. The current implementation is however not thread safe, since if one thread calls runParallel while it is already running in another thread, both calls will try to use the same job, nextPart, and numPart variables.

**7.**

- a.** We start by writing the continuous-time system on state-space form, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t)/dt &= -2x(t) + 2u(t) \\ y(t) &= x(t) \end{aligned}$$

The computational delay is equivalent to a constant input delay, i.e., the continuous-time system will be

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t)/dt &= -2x(t) + 2u(t - L) \\ y(t) &= x(t) \end{aligned}$$

The ZOH-sampled equivalent of this, assuming that  $L \leq h$  is

$$\begin{aligned} x(kh + h) &= \Phi x(kh) + \Gamma_0 u(kh) + \Gamma_1 u(kh - h) \\ y(kh) &= x(kh) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= e^{-2h} = e^{-1} \\ \Gamma_0 &= 2 \int_0^{h-L} e^{-2s} ds = 1 - e^{2L-1} \\ \Gamma_1 &= 2e^{-2(h-L)} \int_0^L e^{-2s} ds = e^{2L-1} - e^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the control law  $u(k) = -2y(k) = -2x(k)$  gives the closed loop system

$$x(k + 1) = e^{-1}x(k) - 2(1 - e^{2L-1})x(k) - 2(e^{2L-1} - e^{-1})x(k - 1)$$

The characteristic equation is hence

$$z^2 + (2(1 - e^{2L-1}) - e^{-1})z + 2(e^{2L-1} - e^{-1})$$

Introducing  $\omega = e^{2L-1}$ , the conditions for stability can be written

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\omega - e^{-1}) &< 1 \\ 2(\omega - e^{-1}) &> -1 + (2(1 - \omega) - e^{-1}) \\ 2(\omega - e^{-1}) &> -1 - (2(1 - \omega) - e^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

From this follows that

$$\omega < \frac{1}{2} + e^{-1}$$

$$\omega > \frac{1 + e^{-1}}{4}$$

The first inequality leads to

$$2L - 1 < \log(1/2 + e^{-1}) = -0.1417$$

from which follows that

$$L < 0.4291$$

From the second inequality we have that

$$2L - 1 > \log(1/4 + e^{-1}/4) = 0.3420$$

from which follows that

$$L > 0.6710$$

However, since we have already assumed that  $L < h$  the second solution can be disregarded. Hence, the system is stable if  $L < 0.4291$ .

**8.**

**a.** The system can be written as

$$U(s) = K\beta R(s) - KY(s) + I(s)$$

$$I(s) = \frac{K}{sT_i}(R(s) - Y(s))$$

The approximation  $s \approx (z - 1)/h$  gives

$$(z - 1)I(z) = \frac{Kh}{T_i}(R(z) - Y(z)) \iff$$

$$I(k + 1) = I(k) + \frac{Kh}{T_i}(r(k) - y(k))$$

The whole controller is given by

$$u(k) = K\beta r(k) - Ky(k) + I(k)$$

$$I(k + 1) = I(k) + \frac{Kh}{T_i}(r(k) - y(k))$$

**b.** The coefficients to be converted are

$$K = 5$$

$$K\beta = 3.15$$

$$Kh/T_i = 1.66667$$

$K$  requires 3 integer bits, giving  $n = 16 - 1 - 3 = 12$  fractional bits. The fixed-point representations are

$$K_{[3.12]} = \text{round}(5 \cdot 2^{12}) = 20480$$

$$K\beta_{[3.12]} = \text{round}(3.15 \cdot 2^{12}) = 12902$$

$$Kh/T_{i[3.12]} = \text{round}(1.667 \cdot 2^{12}) = 6827$$

**c.**

```
// define your parameters here
#define K      20480
#define Kb     12902
#define KhTi   6827

int32_t I = 0;

// Called periodically every 0.1 s.
void do_control(int16_t r) {
    int16_t y = read_input();
    int32_t u = ((int32_t)Kb*r - (int32_t)K*y + I) >> 12;

    // Limit the signal
    if (u > 511) {
        u = 511;
    } else if (u < -512) {
        u = -512;
    }

    write_output(u);

    // Note: no shifting here
    I = I + (int32_t)KhTi*(r-y);
}
```