Repeated games(Perfect monitoring) Folks Theorem

REPEATED GAMES

October 24, 2014

REPEATED GAMES

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Outline



- Repetiton
- Repeated game
- Finitely-Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma
- Infinitely-Repeated games
- Trigger strategies

2 Folks Theorem

- Finitely-Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma
- Cooperation in Finitely-Repeated Games
- Noisy Prisoner's Dilemma

< 17 ▶

Repeated games(Perfect monitoring) Folks Theorem

Repeated Games(Perfect monitoring)

- Extensive form game: Allowing explicit representation of important aspects, lite the sequencing of players' possible moves, their choices at very decision point and their information (possible imperfect) about the other players.
- Subgame perfect equilibrium (SPE): Nash equilibrium in subgames.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

• Repeated games (super games) can be seen as a stage game played at each date for some duration of *T* periods.

Repetiton

- Future payoff are discounted (money tomorrow is worse then money now).
- For example, a two-period game with stage could be

$$U = u^1 + \delta u^2$$

• The payoff to player i in the repeated game

$$u_i(a) = \sum_{t=0}^T \delta^t g_i(a_i^t, a_{-i}^t)$$

	Cooperate	Defect
Cooperate	1, 1	-1,2
Defect	2, -1	0, 0

Figure: Prisoners Dilemma.

- What happens if $T < \infty$?
- SPE \Leftrightarrow backward induction.
- Unique SPE: (D,D) at each date.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Repeated games(Perfect monitoring) Folks Theorem Repetiton Repetiton Repetiton Repetiton Repetiton

Theorem

Consider repeated game $G^{T}(\delta)$ for $T < \infty$. Suppose that the stage game G has a unique pure strategy equilibrium a^* . Then G^{T} gas a unique SPE, where $a^t = a^*, \forall t = 0, 1, ..., T$ regardless of history.



イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

- Infinitely-Repeated game G^{∞} , repeat the stage game at t = 0, 1, ...
- The payoff to player i in the repeated game

$$u_i(a) = (1-\delta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t g_i(a_i^t, a_{-i}^t)$$

э.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

• Threatens other players with a "worse" punishment (could be forever, non-forgiving).

$$a_i^t = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} ar{a}_i & ext{ if } a^ au = ar{a}, \ orall au < t \ egin{array}{ccc} \underline{a}_i & ext{ otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

э.

	Cooperate	Defect
Cooperate	1, 1	-1,2
Defect	2, -1	0, 0

Figure: Prisoners Dilemma.

- Play C in every period unless someone has every played D in the past.
- Play D forever if someone has played D in the past.
- Payoff from C: $(1 \delta)[1 + \delta + \delta^2...] = 1$
- Payoff from D: $(1 \delta)[2 + 0 + 0...] = 2(1 \delta)$
- Cooperation better if $\delta \geq 1/2$
- Cooperation is best against cooperation and defect is best against defect.
- Both has to follow this strategy for this to be an SPE.

Folk Theorems

- People believed that you could support cooperation in repeated prisoners' dilemma and other type of similar games with sufficiently high discount factor.
- Feasible payoff: Convex hull

$$V = Conv\{v \in \mathbb{R}^{'} | \exists a \in A \text{ such that } g(a) = v\}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Minmax Payoff

 Minmax payoff to player i: the lowest payoff that players i's opponent can hold him to:

$$\underline{v}_i = \min_{lpha_{-i}} \left[\max_{lpha_i} g_i(lpha_i, lpha_{-i})
ight]$$

 $m^i_{-i} = \arg\min_{lpha_{-i}} \left[\max_{lpha_i} g_i(lpha_i, lpha_{-i})
ight]$
 $g_i(m^i_i, m^i_{-i}) = \underline{v}_i$

Minmax payoff Lower Bounds

Theorem

Let α be a (possibly mixed) nash equilibrium of $G^{(\infty)}$ and $g_i(\alpha)$ be the payoff to player i in the equilibrium α . Then

 $g_i(\alpha) \geq \underline{v}_i$

REPEATED GAMES

(日)

Folks Theorem

Theorem (Nash Folk Theorem)

If (v_1, \ldots, v_l) is feasible and $v_i > \underline{v}_i$ for all v_i . Then there exists some $\underline{\delta} \leq 1$ such that for all $\delta > \underline{\delta}$ there is a unique Nash equilibrium of $G^{\infty}(\delta)$ with payoffs (v_1, \ldots, v_l)

Problems with Nash Folk Theorem

- Any payoff can be obtained as a Nash Equilibrium when players are patient enough.
- The strategies can be very costly to carry out for the punisher

Figure:

• NE is (DL) minmax:

$$\underline{v}_1 = 0$$
 $\underline{v}_2 = 1$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

э

	А	В	С
Α	3,3	0, 4	-2,0
В	4,0	1,1	-2,0
С	0, -2	0, -2	-1, -1

- When there is multiple equilibria in the state game, cooperation can be allowed in the finite game.
- NE (B,B) and (C,C) but most cooperative is (A,A).
- Support (A,A) in first period by threatening to switch to (C,C).

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

- Public signal: p
- Actions: (a_1,a_2) , where $a_i \in (C,D)$
- Payoffs:

$$\begin{split} r_1(C,p) &= 1 + p, \qquad r_1(D,p) = 4 + p, \\ r_2(C,p) &= 1 + p, \qquad r_2(D,p) = 4 + p. \end{split}$$

• Probability distribution for public signal *p*:

 $\begin{array}{l} a_1 = a_2 = C \rightarrow p = X, \\ a_1 \neq a_2 \qquad \rightarrow p = X - 2, \\ a_1 = a_2 = D \rightarrow p = X - 4, \\ \text{where } X \text{ is a continuous random variable with cumulative distribution} \\ \text{function } F(x) \text{ and } E[X] = 0. \end{array}$

• The payoff matrix for this game takes the following form:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} C & D \\ \hline C & (1+X,1+X) & (-1+X,2+X) \\ D & (2+X,-1+X) & (X,X) \\ \end{array}$$

< A >