Lecture 4 – Nonlinear Control

Nonlinear Controllability



Notes

Handout from Nonlinear Control Theory, Torkel Glad (Linköping)

Nonlinear System

$$\dot{x} = f(x,u) y = h(x,u)$$

Important special affine case:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u y = h(x)$$

- f: drift term
- g : input term

Basic Result: Linearization at (x_0, u_0)

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u$$

Theorem: Suppose $f(x_0) + g(x_0)u_0 = 0$. If

$$\dot{z} = Az + Bv$$

 $A = rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0) + rac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0)u_0$
 $B = g(x_0)$

is controllable, then for all $T, \epsilon > 0$ the set

$$X_{T,\epsilon} = \{x(T); |u - u_0| < \epsilon\}$$

contains a neighborhood of x_0 .

Rolling Penny

$$\dot{x} = u_1 \cos(heta)$$

 $\dot{y} = u_1 \sin(heta)$ penny
 $\dot{\phi} = u_1$
 $\dot{\theta} = u_2$

The linearization is not controllable (check)

Can the penny be moved sideways in small time (keeping the head up)?

Rolling Penny

Yes it can. But it is not obvious.

Non-holonomic constraints $a(z)\dot{z} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta & -\cos\theta & 0 & 0\\ \cos\theta & \sin\theta & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}\\ \dot{y}\\ \dot{\phi}\\ \dot{\theta} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Holonomic constraints $h(z) = 0 \Longrightarrow h_z \dot{z} = 0$.

Consider two vector fields $\dot{x} = f(x)$ and $\dot{x} = g(x)$

Lie-bracket. New vector field

$$[f,g] = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}g$$

Why is it interesting?

 $\dot{x} = g_1(x)u_1 + g_2(x)u_2$

Controllability: If the Liebracket "tree" has full rank, then the system is controllable

Example

$$\dot{x}_1 = u_1$$

 $\dot{x}_2 = u_2$
 $\dot{x}_3 = x_1u_2 \pm x_2u_3$

This means
$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \pm x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$
 $[g_1, g_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \pm x_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$

Example

Hence at x = 0 we have

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad [g_1, g_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 - \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

With the minus-sign the three vector fields span R^3 , and we have controllability.

With the plus-sign the system is not controllable, in fact it can be seen that $x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_3$ is an invariant.

Some more notation

$$X^{t}(p) =$$
solution to $\dot{x} = X(x), x(0) = p$
 X^{t} is smooth. $X^{0} =$ id

$$L_X(g) = X(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(X^h(p)) - g(p)}{h}$$

 $L_{\alpha X+\beta Y}=\alpha L_X+\beta L_Y, \ \alpha,\beta\in R$

Example

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u y = h(x)$$

$$\dot{y} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \dot{x} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (f + gu) = L_{f+gu} h$$

$$= L_f h + u L_g h$$

$$y^{(k)} = (L_{f+gu})^k h$$

Lie-Brackets

$$[X, Y]_p(f) = X_p(Y(f)) - Y_p(X(f))$$

$$X \sim \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y \sim \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[X, Y] = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x} X - \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} Y$$

Another example

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi \\ r \end{pmatrix} \sim \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\ Y &= \begin{pmatrix} r \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\ \begin{bmatrix} X, Y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi \\ r \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin \phi \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi - \sin \phi \\ -r \end{pmatrix} \sim (\cos \phi - \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - r \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \end{aligned}$$

Lie-Brackets

Why are Lie-brackets so fundamental?

 $\dot{x} = g_1 u_1 + g_2 u_2$

$$(u_1(t), u_2(t)) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & t \in [0,h) \\ (0,1) & t \in [h,2h) \\ (-1,0) & t \in [2h,3h) \\ (0,-1) & t \in [3h,4h) \end{cases}$$
$$x(4h) = x_0 + h^2[g_1,g_2] + O(h^3)$$

Trotters Product Formula

$$\Phi_{[X,Y]}^t = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\Phi_{-Y}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \Phi_{-X}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \Phi_{Y}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \Phi_{X}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \right)^n$$

Proof sketch

$$\left(1 + \frac{tf}{n} + o\left(\frac{tf}{n}\right)\right)^n \to e^{tf}$$

Some Lie-Bracket Formulas

$$\begin{split} & [fX,gY] = fg[X,Y] + fX(g)Y - gY(f)X\\ & [X,Y] = -[Y,X]\\ & [X_1,[X_2,X_3]] + [X_2,[X_3,X_1]] + [X_3,[X_1,X_2]] = 0\\ & L_XY = [X,Y] = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} [X_*^{-h}Y - Y] \end{split}$$

$$X_*^{-h}Y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ad}_X^n Y \frac{h^n}{n!} = Y + h[X, Y] + \frac{h^2}{2} [X, [X, Y]] \dots$$

related to

$$e^{A}e^{B} = e^{C};$$
 $C = A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \dots$

Park Your Car Using Lie-Brackets!

 $\begin{array}{rcl} (x,y) & : & \text{position} \\ \phi & : & \text{direction of car} \\ \theta & : & \text{direction of wheels} \\ (x,y,\phi,\theta) & \in & R^2 \times S^1 \times [\theta_{\min},\theta_{\max}] \end{array}$

Parking cont'd

$$g_1 = \text{Steer} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$g_2 = \text{Drive} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi + \theta) \\ \sin(\phi + \theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[Steer, Drive] = \dots = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\phi + \theta) \\ \cos(\phi + \theta) \\ \cos(\theta) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$:= Wriggle$$

Define

Slide :=
$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\phi + \theta) \\ \cos(\phi + \theta) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

Slide^t(x, y,
$$\phi$$
, θ) = (x - t sin(ϕ), x + t cos(ϕ), ϕ , θ)

An easy calculation (exercise) shows that

[Wriggle, Drive] = Slide

Fundamental Parking Theorem

You can get out of any parking lot that is larger than the car. Use the following control: Wriggle, Drive, –Wriggle (this requires a cool head), –Drive (repeat).

Proof: Trotters Product Formula

Linear Systems

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu = f(x) + g(x)u$$

$$[f,g] = [Ax,B] = 0 - AB$$

$$[g,[f,g]] = 0$$

$$[f,[f,g]] = [Ax,-AB] = A^{2}B$$

:

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{f}^{k}g = \underbrace{[f, [f, \dots, [f, g]]]}_{k \text{ Lie-brackets}} = (-1)^{k}A^{k}B$$

Controllability Theorem

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{i} g_i(x) u_i$$

C = smallest Lie subalg. containing $\{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$

Controllability:

dim $C = n \implies$ can reach open set

With drift term f(x) the theorem is slightly different